

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
7

8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

2:09-CR-262 JCM (RJJ)

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 ADRIAN FUENTES GARCIA,

12 Defendants.  
13

14 **ORDER**

15 Presently before the court is defendant Adrian Fuentes Garcia's motion to equitably toll the  
16 statute of limitations related to Mr. Fuentes' 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion to vacate. Doc. #270. The  
17 court previously granted the government's motion to dismiss the § 2255 motion. Doc. #265.

18 The court interprets Mr. Fuentes' motion as a motion to reconsider the previous dismissal  
19 order. Mr. Fuentes makes arguments speaking directly to the government's reply brief and the  
20 analysis contained in the court's order. Motions for reconsideration "should not be granted, absent  
21 highly unusual circumstances." *Kona Enters., Inc. v. Estate of Bishop*, 229 F.3d 877, 890 (9th Cir.  
22 2000). These circumstances are present where "the district court is presented with newly discovered  
23 evidence, committed clear error, or if there is an intervening change in the controlling law." *Id.*  
24 Defendant's motion fails to persuade the court that any of these three circumstances are present.

25 This court previously dismissed the motion because the materials defendant claimed he  
26 needed to properly support his habeas petition were irrelevant to the ineffective assistance of counsel  
27 claims the motion raised. The motion to reconsider does not illustrate a link between the needed  
28

1 documents and the arguments made in the habeas petition. Therefore, the court denies the motion  
2 to reconsider.

3 Accordingly,

4 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the defendant's motion  
5 to equitably toll the statute of limitations (doc. #270) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED.

6 DATED June 6, 2012.

7  
8   
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE